

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071,832	02/07/2002	Takeo Oita	1503.66199	2844
759	90 03/31/2003			
Patrick G. Burns, Esq. GREER, BURNS, & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500			EXAMINER	
			COX, CASSANDRA F	
300 South Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	-
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/071,832	OITA, TAKEO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this communication can	Cassandra Cox	2816			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	<u>pril 2002</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		, , , , ,			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2816

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over leki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,162,690).

In reference to claim 3, leki discloses in Figure 3 an oscillating unit (21); an amplifying unit (22) amplifying higher harmonic components of an output signal of the oscillating unit (21); and a surface acoustic wave filter (1) selectively outputting a particular frequency higher harmonic component of an output signal amplified by the amplifying unit (22), a piezo-electric substrate of the surface acoustic wave filter (1) being a crystal substrate (see column 3, lines 12-17). leki does not disclose that the oscillator comprises a quartz-crystal. However it is well-known in the art that oscillators

Application/Control Number: 10/071,832

Art Unit: 2816

can be designed using quartz-crystals. Since leki does not disclose the particular design of oscillator 21, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention that the oscillator (21) of leki could have been designed using a quartz-crystal as an example of one implementation of an oscillator. The same applies to claims 6 and 8.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art in view of leki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,162,690).

In reference to claims 1, Applicant admits in his specification page 2 that Figure 1 is prior art that shows a high frequency crystal oscillator that increases levels of higher harmonic components against a level of a fundamental frequency of a generating circuit using a quartz-crystal element (1) selecting any higher harmonic component by a surface acoustic wave filter (2), amplifying the selected higher harmonic component (3), and obtaining a high-frequency oscillation output signal. Applicant states in the disclosure that the piezo-electric substrate that composes the surface acoustic wave filter (LiTaO<sub>3</sub>) is not a crystal substrate. leki discloses in column 1, lines 20-25 that it is well-known to form a piezo-electric substrate of the surface acoustic wave filter (1) from a single crystal material (of which LiTaO<sub>3</sub> is included). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention that the surface acoustic wave filter of applicant's admitted prior art could have been formed using a crystal substrate as disclosed in leki for the advantage of reducing stress migration (see column 2, lines 38-56). The same applies to claims 2, 5, and 7. The same also applies to claims 3-4, 6, and 8 with reference to prior art Figure 4.

Art Unit: 2816

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Cox whose telephone number is 703-306-5735. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM and on alternate Fridays from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on (703)-308-4876. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ČČ

March 10, 2003

MMOTHY P. CALLAHAN ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800